Joint position statement

The role of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) testing for personalised breast cancer risk prediction

As at 11 November 2013









Joint position

At present, we recommend that the use of a SNP-based breast cancer risk assessment test should only be undertaken after an in-depth discussion led by a clinical professional familiar with the implications of genetic risk assessment and genetic testing, including the potential insurance implications.

We support efforts to educate the public about why genetic tests are best performed in a clinical setting that:

- provides genetic counselling by a suitably qualified professional
- allows personalised counselling and interpretation of test results, and
- implements legal provisions regarding privacy and health information.

We also recommend that any test which may be the basis for medical decision-making be performed by an accredited medical laboratory.

What is SNP testing?

- Current SNP analysis typically involves testing for one or more common genetic variations that are each associated with a slight increase in cancer risk. These variations are known as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs, pronounced "snips").
- Over 60 SNPs have been identified which can slightly increase a woman's risk of breast cancer, and the list continues to grow (Sakoda et al).
- SNP testing does not usually test for rare genetic variations that carry a high risk of developing cancer. For example, current SNP testing does not include complete analysis of the high-risk breast cancer genes, BRCA1 and BRCA2, that are analysed in some women with familial breast cancer.
- The interpretation of breast cancer SNP testing varies depending on the clinical setting of the person tested. While SNPs tests may provide useful cancer risk information in the future, their clinical utility at present is uncertain in our view. We support continuing research and development of such tests.

11 November 2013 Page 1 of 4

Rationale

Clinical professionals recognise the importance of patients identifying and managing risk factors for disease such as lifestyle and family history.

As new technologies emerge that have a potential to assist in identification of genetic risk of cancer without family history, it is important for clinicians and patients to have access to information to assist them to understand the potential benefits and limitations of such tests.

The appropriate interpretation of a test assessing the risk of cancer requires an understanding of:

- the analytical validity of the test i.e. whether the test reflects the genetic status of the person tested
- the clinical validity of the test i.e. whether the test carries clinically meaningful information for the person tested
- **the clinical utility** of the test i.e. whether the test provides information that is not already available to the person tested
- the ethical, social, and legal implications of the genetic test result for the person having the test (for example, insurance implications).

The availability of SNP testing for breast cancer risk

- Breast cancer SNP testing integrated with a personal and family history risk factor assessment of breast cancer is commercially available in Australia at a cost to the woman having the test.
- Breast cancer SNP testing is occurring within research studies at no cost to a participant but the timeframe of return of results is variable depending on the trial.

Points to consider around SNP testing for breast cancer risk

- At this stage, the addition of SNP testing to a conventional personal and family history risk factor assessment offers only a modest gain in information and clinical relevance beyond that which is already available from a woman's personal risk factors and family history, especially in premenopausal women (Mealiffe et al 2010; McCarthy et al 2013; Husing et al 2012; Harlid et al 2012; Park et al 2012; Heald et al 2012; Campa et al 2011)
- There is the potential for a woman to erroneously believe that she has had a test for mutations in high penetrance cancer predisposition genes such as BRCA1 and BRCA2.
- SNP test results and the fact that a test has occurred will need to be disclosed to an
 insurance company in a similar manner to any other type of germline genetic testing.
 http://www.genetics.edu.au/Publications-and-Resources/Genetics-Fact-Sheets/copy of FS23A.pdf

11 November 2013 Page 2 of 4

References:

Sakoda LC, Jorgenson E, Witte JS. Turning of COGS moves forward findings for hormonally mediated cancers. Nat Genet 2013 45 (4) 345-8

Mealiffe ME, Stokowski RP, Rhees BK, Prentice RL, Pettinger M, Hinds DA., Assessment of clinical validity of a breast cancer risk model combining genetic and clinical information. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2010 November 3; 102(21): 1618–1627].

McCarthy AM, Armstrong K, Handorf E, Boghossian L, Jones M, Chen J, Demeter MB, McGuire E, Conant EF, Domchek SM. Incremental impact of breast cancer SNP panel on risk classification in a screening population of white and African American women. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2013 Apr;138(3):889-98. doi: 10.1007/s10549-013-2471-8. Epub 2013 Mar 10. PMID: 23474973

Hüsing A, Canzian F, Beckmann L, Garcia-Closas M, Diver WR, Thun MJ, Berg CD, Hoover RN, Ziegler RG, Figueroa JD, Isaacs C, Olsen A, Viallon V, Boeing H, Masala G, Trichopoulos D, Peeters PH, Lund E, Ardanaz E, Khaw KT, Lenner P, Kolonel LN, Stram DO, Le Marchand L, McCarty CA, Buring JE, Lee IM, Zhang S, Lindström S, Hankinson SE, Riboli E, Hunter DJ, Henderson BE, Chanock SJ, Haiman CA, Kraft P, Kaaks R; BPC3. Prediction of breast cancer risk by genetic risk factors, overall and by hormone receptor status. J Med Genet. 2012 Sep;49(9):601-8

Harlid S, Butt S, Ivarsson MI, Eyfjörd JE, Lenner P, Manjer J, Dillner J, Carlson J. Interactive effect of genetic susceptibility with height, body mass index, and hormone replacement therapy on the risk of breast cancer. BMC Womens Health. 2012 Jun 22;12:17. PMID: 22726230

Park JH, Gail MH, Greene MH, Chatterjee N. Potential usefulness of single nucleotide polymorphisms to identify persons at high cancer risk: an evaluation of seven common cancers. J Clin Oncol. 2012 Jun 10;30(17):2157-62. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2011.40.1943. Epub 2012 May 14. PMID: 22585702

Heald B, Edelman E, Eng C. Prospective comparison of family medical history with personal genome screening for risk assessment of common cancers. Eur J Hum Genet. 2012 May;20(5):547-51. doi: 10.1038/ejhg.2011.224. Epub 2012 Jan 4. PMID: 22215421

Campa D, Kaaks R, Le Marchand L, Haiman CA, Travis RC, Berg CD, Buring JE, Chanock SJ, Diver WR, Dostal L, Fournier A, Hankinson SE, Henderson BE, Hoover RN, Isaacs C, Johansson M, Kolonel LN, Kraft P, Lee IM, McCarty CA, Overvad K, Panico S, Peeters PH, Riboli E, Sanchez MJ, Schumacher FR, Skeie G, Stram DO, Thun MJ, Trichopoulos D, Zhang S, Ziegler RG, Hunter DJ, Lindström S, Canzian F. Interactions between genetic variants and breast cancer risk factors in the breast and prostate cancer cohort consortium. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2011 Aug 17;103(16):1252-63. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djr265. Epub 2011 Jul 26. PMID: 21791674

11 November 2013 Page 3 of 4

About COSA

The Clinical Oncology Society of Australia (COSA) is Australia's peak multidisciplinary society for health professionals working in cancer research, treatment, rehabilitation and palliative care with over 1600 members. COSA is recognised as an activist organisation whose views are valued in all aspects of cancer care. We are allied with, and provide high-level clinical advice to Cancer Council Australia.

About HGSA

The Human Genetics Society of Australasia (HGSA) was formed by clinicians and scientists in 1977 to provide a forum for the various disciplines collected under the title of Human Genetics, including clinical genetics, cytogenetics, genetic counselling, molecular genetics, biochemical genetics, genetics in education and cancer genetics. HGSA is recognised as the peak professional body providing education and training (accreditation) to human genetics professionals.

About RCPA

The Royal College of Pathologists of Australasia (RCPA) is the leading organisation representing pathologists and senior scientists in Australasia. Its mission is to train and support pathologists and senior scientists and to improve the use of pathology testing to achieve better healthcare.

The College was first established in 1956 and has been responsible for the training and professional development of pathologists since that time and more recently senior scientists. College members come from across Australasia including Australia, New Zealand, Hong Kong, Singapore, Malaysia and Saudi Arabia. The RCPA is also responsible for the promotion of the science and practice of pathology.

About RACGP

The Royal Australian College of General Practitioners is Australia's largest professional general practice organisation and represents urban and rural general practitioners. The College represents over 20,000 members working in or towards a career in general practice. The College's mission is to improve the health and wellbeing of all people in Australia by supporting GPs, general practice registrars and medical students. This is achieved through education, training and ongoing professional development, including the development of resources and guidelines.

11 November 2013 Page 4 of 4